Richard Choppin Ref:20040793

The applicant has not provided a written response to my representation at REP5-083.

I note the response to one of my points at CAH2, where the applicant has effectively suggested that the replacement open space will be out of bounds to people who are not so mobile. It would also be appropriate to consider the land the applicant wishes to Compulsory purchase as being reasonably flat in comparison to the replacement land which has huge gradient changes.

I also note that two illegally placed private land signs have been removed, however three illegally placed private land signs remain within the boundary of Wigmore Valley Park, giving the impression that the park is now closed.

I have attached Luton Rising's own plans, which despite going through a 2 stage checking process, show the public footpath/bridleway plotted in the wrong place. I have plotted the correct position of the footpath/bridleway in red.











